

Evolutionary freezing in a competitive population

N.F. Johnson¹, D.J.T. Leonard¹, P.M. Hui² and T.S. Lo²

¹ *Department of Physics, University of Oxford,*

Parks Road, Oxford, OX1 3PU, England, United Kingdom

² *Department of Physics, The Chinese University of Hong Kong,*

Shatin, New Territories, Hong Kong

Abstract

We show that evolution in a population of adaptive agents, repeatedly competing for a limited resource, can come to an abrupt halt. This transition from evolutionary to non-evolutionary behavior arises as the global resource level is changed, and is reminiscent of a phase transition to a frozen state. Its origin lies in the inductive decision-making of the agents, the limited global information that they possess and the dynamical feedback inherent in the system.

An evolving population in which individual members (‘agents’) adapt their behavior according to past experience while repeatedly competing for some limited global resource, is of great interest to the social, economic and biological sciences [1–6]. Arthur [3] has introduced a simple example, called the bar-attendance problem, which typifies the complexity often encountered in these different disciplines [2]: potential customers of a particular bar with a limited seating capacity have to repeatedly decide whether or not to attend on a given night each week [7]. A special limiting case of this problem, the so-called minority game [8], is currently attracting much attention [9–11] and has been shown to have a fascinating connection with disordered spin systems [9].

In this paper we introduce and study a simple implementation of the general bar-problem which includes evolutionary learning by the attendees. We find that the evolutionary process can come to an abrupt halt as the global resource level is varied. This transition is dynamical in origin: it results from the inductive decision-making of the agents, the limited global information that they possess, and the dynamical feedback present in the system’s memory.

Consider N agents repeatedly deciding whether to attend a bar with a seating capacity (i.e. global resource level) of L . Let the actual attendance at the bar at time-step t be A_t . If $A_t \leq L$, the outcome is the signal ‘undercrowded’. In contrast, if $A_t > L$ then the outcome is the signal ‘overcrowded’. Hence, the outcome can be represented by a binary string of 0s (representing, say, ‘undercrowded’) and 1s (representing ‘overcrowded’). The outcome is the *only* information made known to all agents: the value L is not announced, and the agents cannot communicate with each other. All agents have the same level of capability: specifically, each agent has access to a common register or ‘memory’ containing the outcomes from the most recent occurrences of all 2^m possible bit-strings $\{\alpha\}$ of length m . This register can hence be written at timestep t as a 2^m -dimensional vector $\mathbf{h}_t$ with binary components $h_t^\alpha \in \{0, 1\}$ corresponding to the outcome of the most recent occurrence of history α : we will call $\mathbf{h}_t$ the ‘predicted trend’ at time t . We assign each agent a single strategy p . Following a given m -bit sequence β , p is the probability that the agent will choose the same outcome h_t^β as that stored in the common register, i.e. she follows the predicted trend; $1 - p$ is the

probability she will choose the opposite, i.e. reject the predicted trend.

The ‘good’ decisions are attending (not attending) the bar with the outcome being ‘undercrowded’ (‘overcrowded’). The ‘bad’ decisions are attending (not attending) the bar with the outcome being ‘overcrowded’ (‘undercrowded’). After the outcome at a given time t is announced, the agents making ‘good’ (‘bad’) decisions gain (lose) one point. If an agent’s score falls below a value $d < 0$, then her strategy is modified, i.e. the agent gets a new p value which is chosen with an equal probability from a range of values, centered on the old p , with a width equal to R . Upon strategy modification, the agent’s score is reset to zero. Hence d is the net number of times an agent is willing to be wrong before modifying her strategy. Although this evolutionary procedure provides a fairly crude ‘learning’ rule as far as machines are concerned [12], in our experience it is not too dissimilar from the way that humans actually behave in practice. Changing R allows the way in which the agents learn to be varied. For $R = 0$, the strategies will never change (though the memory register will). If $R = 2$, the strategies before and after modification are uncorrelated. For small R , the new p value is close to the old one. Our results are insensitive to the particular choice of boundary conditions employed. For $L = (N - 1)/2$, the model reduces to the evolutionary minority game introduced in Ref. [13].

Figure 1 shows the numerical results for the mean and standard deviation of the bar attendance as a function of the global resource level (i.e. seating capacity) defined as the percentage $\ell = (100 \times L/N) \%$. Here $N = 1001$, $m = 3$, $d = -4$ and $R = 2$ although the same general features arise for other parameter values (see Ref. [14]). Averages are taken over 10^4 timesteps within a given run, and then over 10 separate runs with random initial conditions: this average is denoted by $\langle \dots \rangle$. Here we will focus on the mean attendance $\langle A \rangle$; the standard deviation of the attendance ΔA given by $[\langle A^2 \rangle - \langle A \rangle^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}$; the average predicted trend given by $\langle h^\alpha \rangle$; the average strategy $\langle p \rangle$ given by $\frac{1}{N} \int_0^1 p P(p) dp$ where $P(p)$ is the strategy distribution among the agents. $P(p)$ satisfies $\int_0^1 P(p) dp = 1$ and is time- and run-averaged as discussed above. From the definition of the game, games with cutoff $L' \equiv N - L$ are related to games with cutoff L in that the mean attendance in the game

with L' can be found from the mean population of agents *not* attending the bar in a game with L . Hence we focus on $L \geq N/2$. The resulting symmetry about $\ell = 50\%$ is clearly shown in Fig. 1.

At $\ell = 50\%$, the mean attendance $\langle A \rangle = N/2$ while ΔA is *smaller* than for the ‘random’ game in which agents independently decide by tossing a coin ($\Delta A_{\text{random}} \approx \sqrt{N}/2 = 15.8$). As L and hence ℓ increase ($\ell > 50\%$), the mean attendance $\langle A \rangle$ initially shows a small plateau-like structure, then increases steadily while always lying below the value of L . This increase in $\langle A \rangle$ occurs despite the fact that the value L (and hence ℓ) is unknown to the agents. The standard deviation ΔA increases rapidly despite the fact that the number of available seats in the bar is actually increasing. It seems that by increasing the level of available resources, the system actually becomes less efficient in accessing this resource. Most surprising, however, is the abrupt transition in both the mean attendance and standard deviation which occurs around $\ell_c = 75\%$. (The precise value of ℓ_c depends on the strategy modification range R [14]). For $\ell > \ell_c$, both the mean attendance and standard deviation become constant, regardless of the increase in the seating capacity L . In this region, the bar is practically always undercrowded since $(\langle A \rangle + \Delta A) \ll L$, thereby offering a significant ‘arbitrage’ opportunity for the selling of ‘guaranteed’ seating in advance.

Figure 2 shows the corresponding variation in the average predicted trend $\langle h^\alpha \rangle$ and the average strategy $\langle p \rangle$, as a function of the global resource level ℓ . Remarkably, $\langle h^\alpha \rangle$ has a step-like structure with abrupt changes at ℓ_c and $\ell = 50\%$. These abrupt transitions are a direct result of the dynamical feedback and memory in the system. A random $\mathbf{h}_t$ generator as provided by an exogenous source – e.g. sun-spot activity for prediction of financial markets – will not contain these discrete steps and hence does not reproduce the corresponding abrupt transitions shown in Figs. 1 and 2. For example a coin-toss would yield $\langle h^\alpha \rangle = 1/2$ for all ℓ ; however the endogenously-produced predicted trend in the present model only takes this value at *exactly* $\ell = 50\%$.

The strategy distribution $P(p)$ is symmetric at $\ell = 50\%$, with peaks around $p = 0$ and $p = 1$ [13] [11]. As ℓ increases, $P(p)$ becomes asymmetric. The peak around $p = 1$ becomes

larger while that around $p = 0$ becomes smaller: agents hence tend to follow the predicted trend h_t^α more often than not. However, an abrupt change occurs at $\ell = \ell_c$. Figure 3 (upper) shows $P(p)$ just either side of ℓ_c , at $\ell = \ell_c \pm 2\%$. For $\ell = \ell_c - 2\%$ (solid line) the asymmetric distribution is clear but $P(p)$ remains non-zero for all p . For $\ell = \ell_c + 2\%$ (dashed line), $P(p) = 0$ for $p < 1/2$ but has an irregular shape for $p > 1/2$. The final form $P(p)$ depends on the initial strategy distributions at time $t = 0$ and the strategy modification range R . For $\ell_c < \ell \leq 100\%$, the distribution $P(p)$ and hence $\langle p \rangle$ remain essentially unchanged.

Figure 4 shows the average lifespan per agent defined as $\tau = \frac{1}{N} \int_0^1 P(p) \Lambda(p) dp$, where $\Lambda(p)$ is the average number of turns between strategy-modifications experienced by an agent at p . As $\ell \rightarrow \ell_c$, this lifetime τ becomes effectively infinite (i.e. the duration of the simulation) and remains at this value for all $\ell > \ell_c$. Above ℓ_c all agents have a strategy value $p > 1/2$: the agents do not modify their strategies and hence keep this same p value indefinitely. There is hence no evolution in this system for $\ell > \ell_c$, despite the fact that the bar has increased seating capacity which could be exploited (Fig. 1). In essence, the agents are winning enough points to avoid strategy-modification: although the population as a whole is not acting optimally, the system chooses not to employ any additional evolution. The frozen, non-optimal steady state has a huge degeneracy. These findings suggest to us the following informal analogy with a magnetic system with $0 \leq p < 1/2$ representing spin-down and $1/2 < p \leq 1$ representing spin-up. The point $\ell = 50\%$ corresponds to an antiferromagnet at zero field: since $P(p)$ is symmetric, there is no net magnetization. As the external ‘field’ $(\ell - 50)\%$ increases, there is some weak paramagnetism yielding a small value of the magnetization because $P(p)$ is now biased towards $p = 1$ (Fig. 3 upper graph, solid line). At the critical field $(\ell_c - 50)\%$, there is a transition to a ferromagnetic state (i.e. pure spin-up with $p > 1/2$) as a result of the change in $P(p)$ shown in Fig. 3.

A connection between the behaviors of the ‘macroscopic’ variables in Fig. 1 and the ‘microscopic’ variables in Fig. 2, can be made analytically. It can be shown [14] that the mean attendance

$$\langle A \rangle \approx N[\langle h^\alpha \rangle + \langle p \rangle - 2\langle h^\alpha \rangle \langle p \rangle] \quad (1)$$

and the standard deviation

$$\Delta A \approx 2N[\langle h^\alpha \rangle(1 - \langle h^\alpha \rangle)]^{\frac{1}{2}}|\langle p \rangle - \frac{1}{2}|. \quad (2)$$

Here $\langle h^\alpha \rangle$ and $\langle p \rangle$ are implicitly functions of ℓ . At $\ell = 50\%$, $\langle h^\alpha \rangle = 1/2$ and $\langle p \rangle = 1/2$ (Fig. 2) hence $\langle A \rangle \approx N/2$ in agreement with Fig. 1. For $50\% < \ell < \ell_c$, $\langle h^\alpha \rangle \approx 1/4$: the ratio of the slopes of $\langle A \rangle$ and $N\langle p \rangle$ in Figs. 1 and 2 is 0.50 which agrees exactly with the analytic result obtained by differentiating Eq. (1) with respect to $\langle p \rangle$. Similarly, the ratio of the slopes of ΔA and $N\langle p \rangle$ in Figs. 1 and 2 is 0.87 which agrees exactly with the analytic result obtained by differentiating Eq. (2) with respect to $\langle p \rangle$. For $\ell > \ell_c$, $\langle h^\alpha \rangle = 0$ hence Eqs. (1) and (2) yield $\langle A \rangle \approx N\langle p \rangle$ and $\Delta A \approx 0$, which is again in good agreement with the numerical results of Figs. 1 and 2. Note that the result $\langle h^\alpha \rangle = 0$ implies that the bar is always predicted to be undercrowded: clearly this is consistent with the outcome since the mean $\langle A \rangle$ is well below L and the standard deviation is very small. Hence we can see why the undercrowded state provides an attractor for the game dynamics above the freezing transition at ℓ_c . We note in passing that the evolutionary freezing which arises for our generalized bar model (Figs. 1-4) is qualitatively different from the freezing described by Challet and Marsili for the (non-evolutionary) minority game [9].

In summary, we have reported transitions involving an evolving population of adaptive agents who repeatedly compete for a limited, but adjustable, global resource. Abrupt changes arise for both microscopic and macroscopic variables of the system, as the level of available resources is varied. The present results depend crucially for their existence on the dynamical feedback and non-local time correlations present in the system.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Bak, *How nature works* (OUP, Oxford, 1997); S. Kauffman, *The origins of order: self-organization and selection in evolution* (OUP, New York, 1993).
- [2] J.L. Casti, *Would-be worlds* (Wiley, New York, 1997).
- [3] W.B. Arthur, Amer. Econ. Assoc. Papers and Proc. **84**, 406 (1994).
- [4] B. Huberman and R. Lukose, Science **277**, 535 (1997).
- [5] K. Sigmund, *Games of life: explorations in ecology, evolution, and behaviour* (Penguin, London, 1992).
- [6] L.A.N. Amaral, S.V. Buldyrev, S. Halvin, M.A. Salinger, and H.E. Stanley, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 1385 (1998).
- [7] N.F. Johnson, S. Jarvis, R. Jonson, P. Cheung, Y.R. Kwong and P.M. Hui, Physica A **258**, 230 (1998).
- [8] D. Challet and Y.C. Zhang, Physica A **246**, 407 (1997); Physica A **256**, 514 (1998); Y.C. Zhang, Europhys. News **29**, 51 (1998).
See also <http://ptheosg00.unifr.ch~challet/> for an excellent overview.
- [9] D. Challet and M. Marsili, cond-mat/9904071; D. Challet, M. Marsili and R. Zecchina, cond-mat/9904392.
- [10] See for example R. Savit, R. Manuca and R. Riolo, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 2203 (1999); N.F. Johnson, M. Hart and P. M. Hui, cond-mat/9811227; M.A.R. de Cara, O. Pla and F. Guinea, cond-mat/9811162; A. Cavagna, J.P. Garrahan, I. Giardina, D. Sherrington, cond/mat 9903415.
- [11] R. D'hulst and G.J. Rodgers, adap-org/9902001.
- [12] R.S. Sutton and A.G. Barto, *Reinforcement Learning* (MIT Press, Cambridge, 1998).

- [13] N.F. Johnson, P.M. Hui, R. Jonson and T.S. Lo, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 3360 (1999).
- [14] P.M. Hui, N.F. Johnson, D.J.T. Leonard and T.S. Lo, forthcoming.

Figure Captions

Figure 1: Numerical results for the mean bar attendance $\langle A \rangle$ and standard deviation ΔA as a function of the global resource level (i.e. seating capacity) defined as the percentage $\ell = (100 \times L/N) \%$. The parameters (see text) are $N = 1001$, $m = 3$, $d = -4$ and $R = 2$.

Figure 2: Numerical results for the average predicted trend $\langle h^\alpha \rangle$ and the average strategy $\langle p \rangle$ as a function of the global resource level ℓ .

Figure 3: Numerical results for the strategy distribution $P(p)$ (upper graph) and $\Lambda(p)$ (lower graph – see text for definition) near the transition point $\ell = \ell_c$. Solid line: $\ell = \ell_c - 2\%$. Dashed line: $\ell = \ell_c + 2\%$.

Figure 4: Numerical results for the average lifespan per agent τ as a function of the global resource level ℓ .